UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/693,383 | 10/24/2003 | Shalaby W. Shalaby | PC25203A | 1654 | |
| ²⁸⁵²³ PFIZER INC. | | | | EXAMINER | |
| PATENT DEPA | | SILVERMAN, ERIC E | | | |
| BId 114 M/S 114 EASTERN POINT ROAD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| GROTON, CT | GROTON, CT 06340 | | | 1618 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/18/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

~IPGSGro@pfizer.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Ne Con a CAbandana and | 10/693,383 | SHALABY ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ERIC E. SILVERMAN | 1618 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l l | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received as but it does | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). s received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ıired by, and within the three-month բ | period set in, the Notice of | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | _(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| | /Eric E Silverman/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618 | | |
| | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090513 Part of Paper No. 20090513 Continuation of 7, above: On 5/11/09The Examiner telephoned Applicants' representative at a phone number of record to confirm the status of this application. The Examiner did not reach Applicants' representative, but left a voice message which was not returned.